

## **TEHAMA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION MINUTES OF JULY 17, 2007**

Present: Commissioners' Gary Strack; Robert Christison; Dan Irving; George Russell; Ron Warner; Charles Willard. Also present: Gary Antone, Executive Director; Tim Huckabay, Caltrans Deputy District Director of Planning and Local Assistance; Barbara O'Keeffe, Transit Manager.

1. **CALL TO ORDER:** The meeting was called to order at 8:30 a.m. by Chairman Robert Christison.
2. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES:** Motion by Commissioner Willard and second by Commissioner Warner to approve the June 19, 2007 minutes as presented. Carried 6-0 with 0 absent.
3. **PUBLIC COMMENT:** Commissioner Willard welcomed new Chairman Christison.
4. **TEHAMA COUNTY AWARDED FOUR SAFETY PROJECTS TOTALING \$1.6M:** Barbara O'Keeffe discussed the four projects to receive funding. Two projects were awarded under the competitive High Risk Rural Road Program. The remaining two projects were approved under the Highway Safety Improvement Program. Approved projects were San Benito Ave .7 miles south of Gerber, Rancho Tehama Road 1.5 miles west of Paskenta Road, Hwy 99W from Gyle Road to Los Flores Ave. and Orangewood Road .6 miles west of Hall Road. This program pays 90% of the total project costs .

Commissioner Irving questioned if there was a larger list of candidate roads. Director Antone stated that this was a region wide competition with a total of 6 projects submitted with 4 projects approved.

Commissioner Strack questioned if these projects would be contracted out or if we could do some of them. Director Antone replied that we could do them as long as they were not too large.

### **REOPENED PUBLIC COMMENT:**

Commissioner Willard motioned Chairman Christison to reopen Public Comment. Commissioner Willard commented on the development of a new park near Salt Creek Bridge (Hogsback/Hwy 36), and the need of Caltrans to assess the location and safety concerns of those bridges.

5. **FIX FIVE PARTNERSHIP: PHASE I IMPACT FEE NEXUS STUDY:**  
Director Antone presented the Public Draft Fix Five Partnership Phase I Impact Fee Nexus Study. The purpose of the study is to provide the necessary information or supporting documentation to implement a fee. Section 5 implementation of a fee program, and Section 6 background and information necessary to understand what the Mitigation Fee Act is. Shasta

County, Tehama County and Caltrans met to discuss and develop the recommendations presented today. Phase II will have more refined data.

Commissioner Irving question if Phase II was the permanent phase, and Gary Antone confirmed it was.

Gary Antone discussed the Equivalent Unit factors in Table 5 and Table 3 on page 20 Staff will be modifying this table to better match Table 4 on page 23, Project costs.

Commissioner Russell recommended that the document have improved definitions of office space on page 29. High Generation Commercial as defined on page 50 should be defined better.

Commissioner Russell questioned the reference to expand two additional roads in Phase II and what roads would be included.

Gary Antone answered these would include interchanges and alternate routes.

Commissioner Russell expressed concern about the proposed high fee amount.

Commissioner Strack questioned if existing projects would be covered in this study.

Gary Antone replied that exiting projects are noted in this study as "already funded" projects. No project totals have been included. This study is projected out to year 2030.

Commissioner Irving questioned the contribution amount of local funding being 60% for Tehama and 50% for Shasta County.

Barbara O'Keeffe explained that this represents potential outside funding sources.

Commissioner Russell suggested revising Page 28 section on Funding.

6. **CORRESPONDENCE:** Barbara O'Keeffe gave a brief review and clarification on the Caltrans July 5 press release with an explanation on Federal approval of environmental document process.
7. **TCTC CLAIMS AND WARRANTS:**  
Motion by Commissioner Warner and second by Commissioner Strack to approve the July Claims in the amount of \$12,901.49. Carried 6-0 with 0 absent.
8. **ADJOURN TCTC MEETING:** The meeting was adjourned at 9:18 a.m.